The Work on the Street: Street Art and Visual Culture Martin Irvine

Georgetown University

Pre-press version of a chapter in *The Handbook of Visual Culture*, ed. Barry Sandywell and Ian Heywood. London & New York: Berg, 2012: 235-278. This version for personal use only.

Introduction:

The Significance of Street Art in Contemporary Visual Culture

Street art, c. 2010, is a paradigm of hybridity in global visual culture, a postpostmodern genre being defined more by real-time practice than by any sense of unified theory, movement, or message. Many artists associated with the "urban art movement" don't consider themselves "street" or "graffiti" artists, but as artists who consider the city their necessary working environment. It's a form at once local and global, post-photographic, post-Internet, and post-medium, intentionally ephemeral but now documented almost obsessively with digital photography for the Web, constantly appropriating and remixing imagery, styles, and techniques from all possible sources. It's a community of practice with its own learned codes, rules, hierarchies of prestige, and means of communication. Street art began as an underground, anarchic, in-your-face appropriation of public visual surfaces, and has now become a major part of visual space in many cities and a recognized art movement crossing over into the museum and gallery system. This chapter outlines a synthetic view of this hybrid art category that comes from my own mix of experiences and roles—as an art and media theorist in the university, as an owner of a contemporary gallery that has featured many street artists, and as a colleague of many of the artists, curators, art dealers, and art collectors who have contributed to defining street art in the past two decades.2

The street artists who have been defining the practice since the 1990s are now a major part of the larger story of contemporary art and visual culture. Street art synthesizes and circulates a visual vocabulary and set of stylistic registers that have become instantly recognizable throughout mass culture. Museum and gallery exhibitions and international media coverage have taken Shepard Fairey, Banksy, Swoon, and many others to levels of recognition unknown in the

institutionally authorized artworld. Street art has also achieved a substantial bibliography, securing it as a well-documented genre and institutionalized object of study.³ This globalized art form represents a cultural turning point as significant, permanent, and irreversible as the reception of Pop art in the early 1960s.

For contemporary visual culture, street art is a major connecting node for multiple disciplinary and institutional domains that seldom intersect with this heightened state of visibility. The clash of intersecting forces that surround street art exposes often suppressed questions about regimes of visibility and public space, the constitutive locations and spaces of art, the role of communities of practice and cultural institutions, competing arguments about the nature of art and its relation to a public, and the generative logic of appropriation and remix culture (just to name a few).

Street art subcultures embody amazingly inventive and improvisational counter-practices, exemplifying Michel de Certeau's description of urban navigators in *The Practice of Everyday Life*⁴ and Henri Lefebvre's analyses of appropriations of public visual space in cities. Street artists exemplify the contest for visibility described by Jacques Rancière in his analysis of the "distribution of the perceptible," the social-political regimes of visibility: the regulation of visibility in public spaces and the regime of art, which policies the boundaries of art and artists' legitimacy. However the reception of street art continues to play out, many artists and their supporters have successfully negotiated positions in the two major visibility regimes—the non-art urban public space regime and the highly-encoded spaces of artworld institutions. Street art continues to develop with a resistance to reductionist categories: the most notable works represent surprising hybrid forms produced with the generative logic of remix and hybridization, allowing street artists to be several steps ahead of the cultural police hailing from any jurisdiction.

By the early 1990s, street art was the ghost in the urban machine becoming self-aware and projecting its repressed dreams and fantasies onto walls and vertical architecture, as if the visible city were the skin or exoskeleton of something experienced like a life form in need of aesthetic CPR. A visually aware street art cohort in New York, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Paris and London began to see the city as the real teacher, providing a daily instruction manual for

the visual codes and semiotic systems in which we live and move and have our being. A call went out to hack the visually predatory codes of advertising, the rules of the attention economy, and the control of visibility itself. A new generation of art school-educated artists heard the call and joined the ranks of those already on the ground; they combined punk and hip-hop attitude with learned skills and knowledge of recent art movements. By 2000, street artists had formed a global urban network of knowledge and practice disseminated by proliferating websites, publications, and collective nomadic projects.

Whether the street works seem utopian or anarchic, aggressive or sympathetic, stunningly well-executed or juvenile, original or derivative, most street artists seriously working in the genre begin with a deep identification and empathy with the city: they are compelled to state something *in* and *with* the city, whether as forms of protest, critique, irony, humor, beauty, subversion, clever prank or all of the above. The pieces can be ephemeral, gratuitous acts of beauty or forms of counter-iconography, inhabiting spaces of abandonment and decay, or signal jams in a zone of hyper-commercial messaging. A well-placed street piece will reveal the meaning of its material context, making the invisible visible again, a city re-imaged and re-imagined. A street work can be an intervention, a collaboration, a commentary, a dialogic critique, an individual or collective manifesto, an assertion of existence, aesthetic therapy for the dysaesthetics of urban controlled, commercialized visibility, and a Whitmanian hymn with the raw energy of pent-up democratic desires for expression and self-assertion. Whatever the medium and motives of the work, the city is the assumed interlocutor, framework, and essential precondition for making the artwork work. (See especially the examples in Figures 10.1–10.7, 10.14–10.22, and 10.27, -10.28.)

In the context of art theory in the institutional artworld, street art and artists seem made-to-order for a time when there is no acknowledged "period" identity for contemporary art and no consensus on a possible role for an avant-garde. Yet the reception of street art in the institutional artworld remains problematic and caught in a generational shift: the street art movement embodies many of the anti-institutional arguments elaborated in the artworld over the past fifty years, but it hasn't been adopted as a category for advancing art-institutional replication, the prime objective of the art professions. Artworld institutions

prefer their avant-garde arguments and institutional critiques to be conducted intramurally within established disciplinary practices. Even though no art student today experiences art and visual culture without a knowledge of street art, most art school programs continue an academic platform invested in playing out some remaining possibilities in a postmodern remix of Performance Art, Conceptual Art, Appropriation Art, Institutional Critique, and conceptual directions in photography, film, and digital media. Critics, curators, and academic theorists now routinely discuss art forms that are "post-medium," "post-studio," and "post-institutional," precisely the starting point of street art.

Street art is also a valuable case for the ongoing debate about the material and historical conditions of visual culture, and whether the concept of "visual culture," as constructed in recent visual culture studies, dematerializes visual experience into an ahistorical, trans-media abstraction.8 The pan-digital media platforms that we experience daily on computer and TV screens and on every conceivable device create the illusion of a disembodied, abstract, transmedia, and dematerialized visual environment, where images, video, graphics, and text converge and coexist in the field of the flat-panel frame. Street artists are making statements about visual culture and the effects of controlled visibility in the lived environment of the city, where walls and screens are increasingly intermingled. Shepard Fairey frequently remarks that one of his main motivations was inserting images in urban space that challenged the corporate-government monopoly of visible expression, creating a disturbance where "there can be other images coexisting with advertising."9 Street art inserts itself in the material city as an argument about *visuality*, the social and political structure of *being visible*. Street art works by being confrontationally material and location-specific while also participating in the global, networked, Web-distributable cultural encyclopedia.

The social meaning of street art is a function of material locations with all their already structured symbolic values. The city location is an inseparable substrate for the work, and street art is explicitly an engagement with a city, often a specific neighborhood. Street artists are adept masters of the semiotics of space, and engage with the city itself as a collage or assemblage of visual environments and source material. (See Figures 10.7, 10.8, 10.19, 10.21, 10.22, and 10.27.) A specific site, street, wall, or building in London, New York, Paris, or Washington,

DC is already encoded as a symbolic place, the dialogic context for the placement of the piece by the artist. The practice is grounded in urban "operational space," the "practiced place" as described by de Certeau¹¹—not the abstract space of geometry, urban planning, or the virtual space of the screen, but the space created by lived experience, defined by people mapping their own movements and daily relationships to perceived centers of power through the streets, neighborhoods, and transit networks of the city. Street art provides an intuitive break from the accelerated "aesthetics of disappearance," in Paul Virilio's terms, a signal-hack in a mass-mediated environment where what we see in the regime of screen visibility is always the *absence* of material objects. The placement of works is often a call to *place*, marking locations with awareness, over against the proliferating urban "non-places" of anonymous transit and commerce--the mall, the airport, Starbucks, big box stores--as described by Marc Augé. Street art is driven by the aesthetics of material *reappearance* (see Figure 10.8).

Contexts of Street Art 1990 - 2010: Reception, Theory, and Practice

The genealogy of street art is now well-documented. Every art movement has its own myths of origin and foundational moments, but the main continuity from the early graffiti movements of the 1970s and '80s to the diverse group of cross-over artists and urban interventionists recognized the 1990s (Blek le Rat, Barry McGee, Shepard Fairey, Ron English, Banksy, WK Interact, José Parlá, Swoon) and the new cohort of artists recognized since 2000 (for example, Os Gemeos, Judith Supine, Blu, Vhils, JR, Gaia) is the audacity of the *act* itself. The energy and conceptual force of the work often relies on the act of "getting up"-the work as performance, an event, undertaken with a gamble and a risk, taking on the uncertain safety of neighborhoods, the conditions of buildings, and the policing of property. As ephemeral and contingent performance, the action is the message: the marks and images appear as traces, signs, and records of the act, and are as immediately persuasive as they are recognizable.

The history and reception of street art, including what the category means, is a casebook of political, social, and legal conflicts, as well as disputes in the artists' own subcultures. Political tensions remain extreme over graffiti, and urban communities worldwide are conflicted about the reception of street art in the

context of the graffiti and "broken windows" debates, ¹⁶ and whether there can be any social differentiation among kinds of street works. Many street artists working now have "graduated" from simple graffiti as name or slogan writing to a focused practice involving many kinds of image and graphic techniques. ¹⁷ By 2000, most street artists saw their work as an art practice subsuming mixed methods and hybrid genres, executed and produced both on and off the street. The "street" is now simply assumed and subsumed wherever the work is done.

A useful differentiator for street artists is the use of walls as mural space. By the early 1990s, the mass media had disseminated the graffiti styles in New York and Los Angeles, and some of the most visually striking images of the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989 were its miles of graffiti and mural art. Throughout the 1990s, street art as city mural art was spreading across Europe and to South America, especially Brazil, home of the Os Gemeos brothers, who combined influences from hip hop, Brazilian folk culture, and artistic friendships with Barry McGee and other artists from Europe and the US.18 In the past fifteen years, many street artists have gone from underground, usually anonymous, hit and run, provocateurs pushing the boundaries of vandalism and toleration of private property trespass to highly recognized art stars invited to create legal, commissioned wall murals and museum installations (see Figures 10.12–10.17 and 10.23-10.26). Banksy, though by no means a paradigm case, went from merry prankster, vandal, and nuisance to an artworld showman and post-Warholian career manager with works now protected on the streets and studio objects in high demand by collectors, auction houses, and museum curators. The global community of artists is now a network of non-linear relationships that grow and cluster nodally by city identity, techniques, and philosophy of art practice.

While artists as diverse as Blek le Rat, Barry McGee, Dan Witz, José Parlá, Shepard Fairey, Swoon, David Ellis, and Os Gemeos have developed important conceptual arguments for their work, this cohort of artists mainly work intuitively in a community of practice, not through formalized theory. But the levels of sophistication in their work reveal conceptual affinities and sometimes direct, intentional alliances with prior art movements. Where Pop opened a new conceptual space anticipated by Duchamp and Dada, inaugurating new arguments for what art could be, street artists took those arguments as already

made (and over with), and ran with them out the institutional doors and into the streets. Street art became the next step in transformative logic of Pop: a redirected act of transubstantiation that converts the raw and non-art-differentiated space of public streets into new territories of visual engagement, anti-art performative acts that result in a new art category. Like Pop, street art de-aestheticizes "high art" as one of many types of source material, and goes further by aestheticizing zones formerly outside culturally recognized art space. The "extramural" zones of non-art space and the logic of the art container are now turned inside out: what was once banished from the walls of the art institutions (schools, museums, galleries) is reflected back on the walls of the city. Street art is now the mural art of the *extramuros*, outside the institutional walls.

Street artists are also being discussed as inheritors of earlier art movements, especially the ideas that emerged within Dada and Situationism: ¹⁹ viewing art as act, event, performance, and intervention, a *détournement*—a hijacking, rerouting, displacement, and misappropriation of received culture for other ends. ²⁰ Street artists reenact the play and spontaneity envisioned by Debord and described by de Certeau, escaping the functionalism and purposiveness of urban order by deviation and wandering (*derivé*) across multiple zones, rejecting and modifying the prescribed uses of the urban environment. ²¹ Parallel with some forms of performance and conceptual art, street artists are at home with the fragment, the ephemeral mark, and images that engage the public in time-bound situations. Street art extends several important post-Pop and postmodern strategies that are now the common vocabulary of contemporary art: photoreproduction, repetition, the grid, serial imagery, appropriation, and inversions of high and low cultural codes. Repetition and serial forms are now embedded in the visible grid of the city.

Street artists take the logic of appropriation, remix, and hybridity in every direction: arguments, ideas, actions, performances, interventions, inversions, and subversions are always being extended into new spaces, remixed for contexts and forms never anticipated in earlier postmodern arguments. Street art also assumes a foundational dialogism in which each new act of making a work and inserting it into a street context is a response, a reply, an engagement with prior works and the ongoing debate about the public visual surface of a city. As dialog-in-progress,

it anticipates a response, public discourse, commentary, new works. The city is seen as a living historical palimpsest open for new inscription, re-write culture in practice (see Figures 10.1, 10.4–10.7, 10.20, 10.27, 10.28).

Street art continually reveals that no urban space is neutral: walls and street topography are boundaries for socially constructed zones and territories, and vertical space is regulated by regimes of visibility. Leaving a visual mark in public urban space is usually technically illegal and often performed as an act of non-violent civil disobedience. The artists understand that publically viewable space, normally regulated by property and commercial regimes for controlling visibility, can be appropriated for unconstrained, uncontainable, antagonist acts. From the most recent stencil works and paste-ups on a city building in publically viewable space to formal objects made in artists' studios or site-specific projects in galleries and museums, each location is framed by institutions, legal regimes, public policies, cultural categories—frequently overlapping and cooperating, often contradictory in a non-harmonizing co-existence.

Several techniques, mediums, and styles now converge in practice: stylized spray-can graphics and spray drawings from graffiti conventions; found and appropriated imagery from popular culture, advertising, and mass photographic images re-produced in stencil imagery or other printing techniques; design, graphics, and illustration styles merging everything from punk and underground subcultures to high culture design and typography traditions; many forms of print-making techniques from Xerox and screen printing to hand-cut woodblocks and linocuts; direct wall painting, both free-hand and from projected images; and many forms of stencil techniques ranging from rough hand-cuts to multiple layers of elaborate machine-cut imagery. A wall piece in New York, LA, London, or Paris can combine stencil imagery with spray paint, pre-printed artistdesigned paste ups, photocopy blow-ups and collage imagery, and all imaginable hybrids of print making, drawing, and direct wall painting. Unifying practices are montage and collage, shifting scale (up or down), and using the power of serial imagery and repetition in multiple contexts. The photographic and digital photographic sources of images are taken for granted.

Many artists associated with the movement are beyond category, and experiment with installations, material interventions, and many hybrid genres. Vhils carves imagery into walls and buildings, recalling some of Gordon Matta-

Clark's deconstructions of built spaces. José Parlá creates wall murals and multilayered panels and canvases as visual memory devices, palimpsests of urban mark-making, material history, graffiti morphed into calligraphy, and a direct confrontation of AbEx action painting with the decay of the streets and the life of city walls. David Ellis makes films of extended action painting performances and creates kinetic sculptures programmed to make found materials and instruments dance in a call and response with the rhythms of the city. Shepard Fairey channels popular culture images through multiple stylistic registers, including Socialist Realism, constructivist and modernist graphic design, rock poster designs, and Pop styles all merged and output as posters and screen prints for street paste-ups, murals, and hand-cut stencil and collage works on canvas, panel, and fine art papers. While there is no easy unifying term for all these practices, concepts, and material implementations, theory and practice are as tightly worked out in street art as in any art movement already institutionalized in art history.

Street Art and the Global City: All-City to All-Cities

Society has been completely urbanized... The street is a place to play and learn. The street is disorder...This disorder is alive. It informs. It surprises... The urban space of the street is a place for talk, given over as much to the exchange of words and signs as it is to the exchange of things. A place where speech becomes writing. A place where speech can become 'savage' and, by escaping rules and institutions, inscribe itself on walls."

--Henri Lefebvre, The Urban Revolution (1970)²²

Street art is truly the first global art movement fuelled by the Internet.

-Marc and Sara Schiller, Wooster Collective, 2010²³

Over 75% of the developed world now lives in cities and urban agglomerations; lesser developed regions are moving in the same direction.²⁴ The future is the global networked world city. Although the globalization of the "network society" is unevenly distributed, globalization is primarily enacted through a network of cities.²⁵ One of its effects is a change in the idea of the city

itself, a regional site incorporating vast amounts of population mobility, flows of intellectual and material capital, expanding beyond historic and local identity politics. All art movements have developed in cities, but street art is distinctive in having emerged as a direct engagement with the postmodern city: the artists and the works presuppose a dialogic relationship, a necessary entailment, with the material and symbolic world of the city.

Though closely tied to locations and the temporal performative act, the practices of street art as well as the works themselves vacillate between the specific materiality of urban space, street locations, local contexts, and the exhibition, distribution, and communication platform of the Internet and Web. Street artists since around 2000 continually code-switch back and forth between the city as a material structure and the "city of bits," 26 the city as information node, the virtual "space of flows," 27 networked and renderable in multiple digital visualizations. With proliferating websites and popular media coverage, most street artists are not only aware of being seen on a global stage, speaking locally and globally, but they actively contribute to the global Web museum without walls, documenting their work digitally as it is executed. First and foremost, there is the material moment, the physical act of doing the art in a specific location and with specific materials (spray paint, stencils, print and poster paste-ups, direct painting, and every conceivable variation). But more and more, street art is being made and performed to be captured in digital form for distribution on Websites and YouTube--the work of art in the age of instant digital dissemination.28

Street art has emerged in this moment of accelerated and interconnected urbanization, and it's no surprise that street art is most visible in global world cities where concentrations of people, capital, built infrastructure, and flows of information are the densest. In many ways, street art is a response to this concentrated infrastructure with its unequal distribution of resources, property, and visibility. Street art reflects globalization while resisting being absorbed into its convenient categories. Street artists interrupt the totalizing sense of space produced in modern cities with a local, place-bound gesture, an act that says "we're here with this message now." Street artist are also known for traveling to specific locations to do their work in as many contexts as possible, documenting the work for Websites as they go. The work is fundamentally nomadic and ephemeral, destabilizing in its instability.

For New York graffiti writers in the 1970s, having your name seen "all-city" (the trains traversing every borough) was "the *faith* of graffiti."²⁹ This faith has now been transferred to visibility "all cities" through the many Websites and blogs that document and archive street art, most of which are organized by city.³⁰ Since the late 1990s, the imagery and practices of street artists have been spreading around the world at Internet speed, artists tracking each others' work, styles, techniques, walls, and sites. The Art Crimes website, the first graffiti site in the Internet, launched in 1995, and the Wooster Collective, now a leading aggregator of all categories of street art, started in 2001.³¹ Through individual and collective artists' Websites, Flickr image galleries, Google Maps tagging, and blogs, the faith of street art has migrated to the digital city, achieving visibility all-cities.

Extramuros/Intramuros: Streets, Cities, Walls The Cultural Wall System

"I've always paid a great deal of attention to what happens on walls. When I was young, I often even copied graffiti." --Picasso³²

"[Modern paintings] are like so many interpretations, if not imitations, of a wall." —Brassaï³³

De Certeau cites a statement by Erasmus, "the city is a huge monastery," ³⁴ a reference to the pre-modern image of the walled city and the walled monastery as boundaries of inclusion and exclusion. The metaphors of *intramuros* and *extramuros*, inside and outside the walls, run deep in Western culture. They name both material and symbolic spaces, zones of authority, and hierarchies of identity. ³⁵ The premodern metaphors remain in many institutions—schools, colleges and universities, and urban space itself. Paris, arguably the home of the modern idea of the city, still retains the idea of metropolitan expansion zones *extramuros*, the *banlieu*, outside the historic, and once walled, city center. In modern cities without the internalized history of the classical and medieval defensive walls, the structure of streets and buildings, highways, and train yards create marked boundaries, territories, zones, and demarcations of hierarchical

space, a psychogeography of spaces. Street artists have a well-developed practice for placing works in this structured space, where the well-chosen placement of a work often builds more credit than the work itself.

Surfaces that form the visible city are vertical: visibility becomes a contest for using and regulating vertical space. The wall is a metaphor for verticality-buildings, street layout, and boundary walls form the topography of the visible in public space, or more appropriately, *publically viewable*, space.³⁶ Vertical space is highly valuable in modern cities, driving the value of "air rights" above a property and the vertical surfaces which can be leased for advertising. When concentrated in spaces like Times Square in New York, Potsdamer Platz in Berlin, and Shibuya Crossing in Tokyo, advertising surfaces achieve the status of totalizing spectacles, walled enclaves of manufactured and regulated visuality.

One of the major obsessions of modern art theory has been the cultural wall: the problem of institutional walls, the over-determined modernist "white cube" of four gallery walls,37 the bourgeois commoditization of wall-mountable works representing symbolic capital in domestic space, the conceptual use of art institution walls as an abstract surface for ephemeral works requiring no permanent or durable material form, walls as boundaries, limits, enclosures, territories, zones, and concepts of art on, off, or outside the walls. This theorizing is enacted as a series of arguments that presuppose, and only make sense within, the intramural artworld. For many avant-gardes, the bourgeois domestic gallery or museum interior, a wall system for objects, provides the scene for irony and subversions precisely because it is everywhere stable, entailed, presupposed, always already there. For conceptual art, it was the question of the objecthood of the work, its independence from wall space other than as a structure of verticality to be used, or not, in the installation of a work. Performance art challenged everything except the presupposition of the constitutive intramural art space for the recognition, reception, and visibility of the art act as art.38

In a recent essay, Mel Bochner reflected on the move in the 1970s and 80s to draw and paint directly on walls, redirecting the question of art as object to one of concept on surface.³⁹ It was still a question of intramural art institution walls, and one that had already been raised in Andy Warhol's famous show at Leo Castelli's in 1966 when he covered every wall in the gallery with Pop-colored cow wallpaper, using actual printed wallpaper, and taking object-less flatness all the

way. Bochner reflects that Warhol's move combined with the impact of the graffiti written in the May 1968 Paris student uprising signaled a new awareness of direct encounters with the inscribed surface of a wall: it is immediate and temporal. "These works cannot be 'held'; they can only be seen." Bochner's concluding observation could easily be expressed by a street artist: "By collapsing the space between the artwork and the viewer, a wall painting negates the gap between lived time and pictorial time, permitting the work to engage larger philosophical, social, and political issues." OK, the street artist would say, but reverse the orientation of the walls: what was formerly a debate about work done in institutional art space has now been turned outward into public space, or, more fully, let's erase the zones and demarcations and acknowledge a continuum between art-institutional space and the public space surrounding everyday life.

Let's consider a few routes through which street art wall practices were anticipated but not fulfilled by avant-garde attempts to break the wall system. I'm not interested in developing myths of origin or a genealogy of practices that could legitimize street art in an art historical narrative, as if street art were a long-repressed, internalized "other" finally bursting out on its own. Rather, when read dialogically, the moves, strategies, and arguments being restated in street art practice become visible as intuitive and conceptual acts with equal sophistication and awareness of consequences.

We can trace a non-linear cluster of concepts and practices extending from post-War neo-Dadaist artists down to the 1980s and the artworld reception of Basquiat, Jenny Holzer, and Barbara Kruger, whose works, as different as they are in medium and concepts, presuppose the *intramuros/extramuros* symbolic system. Conceptual and strategic connections to recent street art practice are found in Robert Rauschenberg's image transfers and assemblage works, Cy Twombly's large mural paintings of writing and graffiti gestures, the works of the *décollage* artists begun in the late 1940s, especially by Jacques Villeglé in Paris, and the "matter" and wall paintings by Antoni Tàpies in Barcelona in the 1950s-1980s. An ur-text for the tradition is Brassaï's *Graffiti*, photographs of the paint marks, image scratches, and writings on Paris walls from the 1930s-1950s, the first collection of which was published in 1961 with an introduction by Picasso. ⁴¹ Known as the photographer of the Paris streets, Brassaï made photographing graffiti a life-long project. The Museum of Modern Art presented an exhibition of

Brassaï's graffiti photographs in 1956 and the Bibliothèque Nationale organized a Brassaï retrospective in Paris in 1964, both of which had a major influence on artists and the modernist discourse about primitivism, outsider art, and the unselfconscious expression of the untrained savant. The artworld debate about walls, graffiti, and the authentic outsider provided one context for the reception of Basquiat and Haring in the post-Pop 1980s. Also beginning in the 1980s, Barbara Kruger extended the debate about walls and appropriated images for a feminist conceptual critique that both crossed the wall boundaries and disrupted the white cube of gallery space by presenting all walls, ceilings, and floors as a continuous surface of image and text.

Artists in the Abstract Expressionist and Neo-Dadaist traditions quoted or appropriated the look or the Romantic myth of graffiti as a gesture to be incorporated in large, mural paintings. The appropriation made sense only as a move in a specific kind of argument about painting that involved breaking down the pictorial surface with graphism, writing and symbols, usually with a downskilling or deskilling of mark-marking and other non-pictorial elements. Cy Twombly's works in the 1950s show the transference of street wall acts and gestures, "surrogate graffiti," "like anonymous drawings on walls."42 Twombly's "allusions" to writing on walls and blackboards were a means to smuggle graffiti gestures into painting as a sign of the primitive, raw, spontaneous, and preformal, writing overtaking pictorial space. 43 Rauschenberg, who initially appeared in shows with Twombly, constructed combine and collage works incorporating nearly all possible graphic gestures and image appropriations in wall-like systems.44 Rauschenberg's deconstructed image- and sign-bearing materials were the escape hatch that launched appropriation art as an ongoing encounter with what is found in the city. As Leo Steinberg noted, "Rauschenberg's picture plane is for the consciousness immersed in the brain of the city."45 At the time, a similar move inside painting was developed by Antoni Tapies in Barcelona, whose paintings appropriated the materiality of the city wall with its codes for communal inscription and palimpsest history.

What emerged in the 1950s-60s as a formal argument *about* painting "degree-zero," a reduction of means to the baseline materiality of surfaces, a reduction down to the bare walls as a minimal signifying unit of plane space, was converted into a material practice by street artists in the 1980s-90s. Instead of

smuggling in non-art acts on walls as a disruptive move within the grand narrative of painting, street art starts from the reversed wall, the interchange and promiscuous mix of cultures *intra*- and *extramuros*, as the now always-already state of the world's imaging system. The reversal reveals the urban wall as we've already known it, though often occluded in misrecognition: the wall as the primary signifying space of the human built environment, the picturing plane *par excellence*, a kind of deep structure in the generative grammar of visuality, part of a centuries-long cultural unconscious. We can't get over the wall.

This awareness of the signifying materiality of the urban wall was explored persuasively by two other artists in the 1950s-1960s, Jacques Villeglé and Antoni Tapies, artists who have continued their practice to the present day. Villegle's works are made of, or from, torn street posters, a move that both scaled up and reversed the process of Dadist collage and redirected the anonymity of posters pasted and torn away by the hands of passersby.46 Villeglé usually named his decollage pieces by the streets, squares, or metro stations from which he extracted the found and torn posters, many of which had graffiti and other pasteup additions added by others. He "deglued" the street-scale posters and papers and then re-applied them to canvas and paper supports to be mounted on exhibition walls, thus reversing non-art/art wall spaces and allowing the extramural realm of anonymous, layered public walls to penetrate the intramural space of the gallery, museum, and art collection. It was a move that inserted a sign of the ephemeral, public street experience without engaging in its practice. This technique is now used by many street artists who create studio-produced canvases and wood panel works using various collage techniques with found and prepared papers.

Antoni Tàpies, the Catalonian artist known for his interpretation of Dada, *art brut*, and *art informel* (formlessness), transposed the function of city walls onto his canvases, often marking them with raw graffiti gestures, crosses, Xs, and ritual and territorial marks.⁴⁷ His appropriation of the city wall went back to growing up in Barcelona and experiencing the city walls as both a cultural identity and a tableau on which the daily violence of fascist oppression was inscribed and memorialized in the 1930-40s. For him, the direct marks in matter were signs of the undeniable presence of human action, the traces of history and memory imposed materially and directly, and not through illusionistic images

which can only be signifiers of absence. He turned the external inscribed surface of walls *inward*, into interior space and the inward space of symbols and meditation. In the 1950s he discovered Brassaï's photographs of graffiti and the theories accompanying the reception of Brassaï's work, further motivating his move to making paintings as quotations from walls.

Tàpies inserted the materiality of old, marked city walls into painting, using marble dust, sand, and clay; he marked the materials like territory identity signs, but limited to the demarcated surface of a painting. In his essay "Communication on the Wall" (1969), he recalled a turning point in the 1950s: "the most sensational surprise was to discover one day, suddenly, that my paintings... had turned into walls." As Reversing exterior walls to interior reflection, Tàpies represents walls not simply as material barriers but as the medium for public marks of human struggle, presence, mortality, and collective memory. The secular extramural ritual of adding human presence to the palimpsest wall in non-art space has been turned around to present itself in the intramural art space of the studio, museum, gallery, and art collection. *Mutatis mutandis*, street artists in Barcelona have extended Tàpies' project by executing some of the most striking street mural art in the world (see Figures 10.27 and 10.28). 49

Around 1980, Basquiat made the transition from graffiti and his SAMO street identity to working out his famous street/studio fusion with lessons learned from the early Pollock, Dubuffet, Twombly, Rauschenberg, and Picasso. 50 He reversed the walls again, eagerly joining the prestige system of the artworld, and was at home with large-scale mural paintings, creating paintings that were walls of brut imagery, graphics, and writing. When Basquiat abandoned his street work for the intramural artworld, there was an enthusiastic embrace of his outsider cross-over status, as if he came from a curatorial central casting agency. He emerged at a moment when "outsider" and "primitive" art were established as art market and curatorial categories, and when the first wave of graffiti art had crossed over into the gallery system. Basquiat's and Keith Haring's works were also received as viable moves within a post-Pop continuum, both artists benefiting from artworld and popular culture myths of the Romantic outsider artist. The next generation of street artists moved beyond the wall problematic of the artworld, and energetically embraced working as outsiders. The non-art space of city walls remained open for intervention, and the rest is now history.

Jenny Holzer and Barbara Kruger, important wall-breakers in other directions, should be cited as concluding examples of artists who intervened in the mediated city and the urban wall messaging system. Both artists rose to international attention in the 1980s simultaneously with Basquiat and Haring, and both began by responding to the cultural messaging system of New York City. Jenny Holzer began her LED aphorism and posters in the late 1970s as interventions in public space, and she then developed her signature style of largescale text projections on city buildings and messages on appropriated billboards.⁵¹ Barbara Kruger has produced a large body of work that combines collaged or photomontaged appropriated images from mass media with slogans in the Futura Bold type font directed toward a feminist critique of consumer culture. Her works are like scaled up magazine advertising spreads, and she often installs her work like walls of posters and billboards, at times covering entire floors and ceilings of gallery rooms.⁵² She has also produced works installed in public spaces, including billboards, posters, bus stops, and exterior museum installations.⁵³ Shepard Fairey and others have acknowledged Holzer and Kruger as major influences for using text messages and appropriated, stylistically encoded mass media imagery in works created for multiple spaces of reception.⁵⁴

Street artists have broken the wall system even further by including the social intramuros/extramuros partitions as part of their subject matter. Public spaces and city walls have become a heuristics laboratory for experimentation and discovery, the results of which are brought back into studio art making, and vice versa. For many artists today, making new art is not only about negotiating with "art history," but about engaging with the history of every mark, sign, and image left in the vast, global, encyclopedic memory machine of the city. The street, studio, and gallery installation spaces now continually intersect and presuppose one another; art works are made for the spaces that frame them. As Alexandre Farto (Vhils) explains, "I don't discriminate between outside and inside. I think it's more about the way you embrace a particular space and what you want to question with it."55 Likewise, José Parlá "never saw the difference" between doing his illegal street work and his experiments on canvas when he started painting in the late 1980s: "my generation grew up seeing ... Jean-Michel Basquiat, Futura and Phase2 and their gallery exhibitions around the world... Regardless of the surface, for me it was all just art – and that's it."56

The cultural wall system is capable of many reversals and inversions precisely because the major art and property regimes are defined by secular extensions of the rule of *intramuros* and *extramuros*. Within the institutional boundaries of the artworld system, we learn what the category of art is, what is excluded and excludable (the *extramuros*) and what is included and includable. Visibility regimes remain embedded in our material and symbolic wall systems like resident software always functioning as a background process. The artworld had a dream of art forms that subverted the received structures and boundaries, but never imagined that outsiders would actually be doing it. Dada didn't overturn the intramural idea of art; it required and presupposed it. Dada was the theory; street art is the practice.

Street Art and Rewriting the City

The way I look at the landscape is forever changed because of street art. -- Shepard Fairey, 2010^{57}

Much of street art practice follows the logic of transgressions, appropriations, and tactics described in Michel de Certeau's, *The Practice of Everyday Life*. ⁵⁸ De Certeau describes how ordinary urban citizens navigate and negotiate their positions in power systems that mark up city space. Breaking up the totalizing notion of those dominated by power as passive consumers, de Certeau shows that daily life is *made*, a creative production, constantly appropriating and reappropriating the products, messages, spaces for expression, and territories of others. For de Certeau, the term "consumer" is a useless, reductionist euphemism that obscures the complexities of daily practice. Consumers are more appropriately users and re-producers. "Everyday life invents itself by *poaching* in countless ways on the property of others." ⁵⁹ Street art and urban artist collectives are acts of engagement and reorganization, a therapeutics based on reappropriations and redeployments of the dominant image economy and hierarchical distribution of space experienced in metropolitan environments.

De Certeau described the strategy of city dwellers in their "reading" of received culture with its normative messages and the active "writing" back of new and oppositional uses that become community identity positions. ⁶⁰ He

anticipated the idea of "read-write" culture, the post-Internet context of all art practice, which involves "reading" transmitted information and "rewriting" it back to the cultural archive, reusing it by interpretation and new context, the remix of the received and the re-produced. Street art lives at the read-write intersection of the city as geo-political territory and the global city of bits. Not only are the material surfaces of buildings and walls rewritten, but street art presupposes the global remix and reappropriation of imagery and ideas transferred or created in digital form and distributable on the Internet. Remix culture scans the received culture encyclopedia for what can be reinterpreted, rewritten, and reimaged now. Displacements, dislocations, and relocations are normative generative practices.

Many street artists are nomads, moving around when possible in this connected and rapidly continuous *intermural* global city. This is a very new kind of art practice, doing works in multiple cities and documenting them in real time on the Web. Nomadic street artists are now imagining the global city as a distributed surface on which to mark and inscribe visual interventions that function both locally and globally. The act and gesture performed in one location can now be viewed from any other city location, and documented, archived, compared, imitated, remixed, with any kind of dialogic response. Banksy's stencil works have appeared on Palestinian border walls as well as on the walls and buildings of most major cities, instantly viewable through a Google image search. Reading and rewriting the city has been globalized; the post-Internet generations of artists navigate material and digital cities in an experiential continuum. The art of the extramural world has reconceived both material and conceptual walls and spaces: the extramural has become post-mural.

The Contest of Visibility

The future of art is not artistic, but urban. -- Henri Lefebvre⁶²

New York, London, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Paris, Melbourne, Rio, and Sao Paulo are palimpsests of visual information in the consumerist attention economy, every visual signifier discharged in a real-time competition and rivalry for observers' attention. World cities have known territories and hierarchies,

peripheries and zones for industry or marginalized classes, all of which are assumed and exploited in street art. For street artists, a city is an information engine: the daily flows of people for work, leisure, and consumption are information; the invisible communications network infrastructure not only transmits information but its very density is itself information; streets, alleys, the built environment is information; the presence or absence of buildings are information; the commercial messaging systems in signs, advertising, logos, billboards, and giant light panels both transmit and are themselves information. Some of the information becomes communication, addressable messages to passers-by, advertising hailing us all to look and receive. Ubiquitous, street-level, vertical advertising spaces are a normative experience in every city, a protected zone of visuality now nearly inseparable from urban life itself (see Figures 10.10 and 10.11).

Street art is thus always an assertion, a competition, for *visibility*; urban public space is always a competition for power by managing the power of visibility. ⁶³ To be visible is to be known, to be recognized, to exist. Recognition is both an internal code within the community of practice of street artists, and the larger social effect sought by the works as acts in public, or publically viewable, space (see Figures 10.15–10.26). The acts of visibility, separable from the anonymity of many streets artists, become part of the social symbolic world, and finally, of urban ritual, repetitions that instantiate communal beliefs and bonds of identity.

Street art contests two main regimes of visibility—legal and governmental on one side, and artworld or social aesthetic on the other—which creates the conditions within which it must compete for visibility. Street art works against the regimes of government, law, and aesthetics as accepted, self-evident systems that normalize a common world by unconscious rules of visibility and recognition. In each regime, there are rules and codes for what can be made visible or perceptible, who has the legitimacy to be seen and heard where, and who can be rendered invisible as merely the background noise of urban life. Jacques Rancière has noted how politics is enacted by "the partition of the perceptible" (French, *partage du sensible*), how the regulation, division, or distribution of visibility itself distributes power: "Politics is first of all a way of framing, among sensory data, a specific sphere of experience. It is a partition of

the sensible, of the visible and the sayable, which allows (or does not allow) some specific data to appear; which allows or does not allow some specific subjects to designate them and speak about them."⁶⁴ Advertising and commercial messaging space are made to appear as a guaranteed, normalized partition of the visible in the legal regime. Street artists intuitively contest this rationing or apportioning out of visibility by intervening in a publically visible way. Street art thus appears at the intersection of two regimes, two ways of distributing visibility—the governmental regime (politics, law, property) and the aesthetic regime (the artworld and the boundary maintenance between art and non-art).

The contest of visibility is clearly marked in the visual regimes for commercial communication. As de Certeau observed, "from TV to newspapers, from advertising to all sorts of mercantile epiphanies, our society is characterized by a cancerous growth of vision, measuring everything by its ability to show or be shown and transmuting communication into a visual journey. It is a sort of epic of the eye and of the impulse to read." Every day, we consume more visual messages than products. Street advertising has to be instantly recognizable, but with image saturation, it's also instantly disengageable, a contest between meaning and noise. All advertising messages are constructed to *interpellate* us, calling us out to take up the position of the advertising addressee—the consumer, the passive receiver. Street art pushes back with alternative subject positions for inhabitants and citizens, confusing the message system by offering the alternative subjectivity of gift-receiver, and blurring the lines between producers and receivers.

Street artists often talk about their work as a reaction to the domination of urban visual space by advertising in a closed property regime. Street art is a response to experiencing public spaces as being implicitly, structurally, forms of advertising, embodying the codes for socialization in the political economy. In attempts to maximize the commercial appeal of city centers, many cities have government sponsored urban projects that turn urban zones into theme parks with carefully controlled visual information necessary for sustaining a tourist simulacrum. As Baudrillard noted, "today what we are experiencing is the absorption of all virtual modes of expression into that of advertising." Not merely messages for products and services, but a social messaging system, "vaguely seductive, vaguely consensual," that replaces lived social experience

with a fantasy of a consumerist community. The code of advertizing is socialization to the message system: "a sociality everywhere present, an absolute sociality finally realized in absolute advertising... a vestige of sociality hallucinated on all the walls in the simplified form of a demand of the social that is immediately met by the echo of advertising. The social as a script, whose bewildered audience we are." 68

Street art works to scramble this script, jam the communiqué, or expose its falsely transparent operation, allowing viewers to adopt different positions, no longer simply *subjects of* a message. Street art is a direct engagement with a city's messaging system, a direct hit on the unconscious, accepted, seemingly natural spaces in which visual messages can appear. Street artists intervene with a counter-imagery, acts of displacement in an ongoing generative "semeiocracy," the politics of meaning-making through images and writing in contexts that bring the contest over visibility into the open. Walls and structures can be de-purposed, repurposed, de-faced, refaced, de-made, remade.

Ron English, Shepard Fairey, Banksy and many others have made explicit subversion of advertising space one of their main tactics. Ron English has high-jacked over 1,000 billboards with his Pop "subvertisements," becoming the exemplar of the culture jamming potential of street art. 69 This approach has been the main motivation for Shepard Fairey's long-running "Obey" campaign: images and slogans that provoke awareness about public messages and advertising. 70 Though criticized for being simplistic, Fairey's message targets the consumer subject directly: "keep your eyes and mind open, and question everything." 71 Swoon takes a subtler but still pointed approach: "Lately I have wanted to give all of my attention to reflecting our humanness, our fragility and strength, back out at us from our city walls in a way that makes all of these fake images screaming at us from billboards seem irrelevant and cruel, which is what they are." 72

In terms of visual communication space, privatized commercial messages are endlessly displayed on industrial scale on billboards, street posters and kiosks, and huge lighted signs. The visual space of many cities is given over to advertising in protected spaces rented from property owners. As De Certeau observed in "The Imaginary of the City," an "imaginary discourse of commerce is pasted over every square inch of public walls." The visible spaces of inscription for commerce, of

course, can reveal precisely where artists' interventions will be most visible as a counter-imaginary language:

[Commercial imagery] is a *mural language* with the repertory of its immediate objects of happiness. It conceals the buildings in which labor is confined; it covers over the closed universe of everyday life; it sets in place artificial forms that follow the paths of labor in order to juxtapose their passageways to the successive moments of pleasure. A city that is a real "imaginary museum" forms the counterpoint of the city at work.⁷³

This view is precisely what motivates many street artists: the city as a competitive space of mural messaging, walls and non-neutral spaces with a potential for bearing messages. Street artists seize the spaces of visibility for the messaging system. As Swoon stated in 2003 on the methods of her Brooklyn collective, "we scour the city for the ways that we are spoken to, and we speak back... Once you start listening, the walls don't shut up."⁷⁴

Street art also exemplifies the kind of cultural reproduction that de Certeau discovered in actions that transgress not only the spaces where messaging can appear, but in its obvious non-commercial, ephemeral, and gratuitous form. It takes on the politics of the gift, in direct opposition to most legal messaging on city walls and vertical spaces. His description of popular culture tactics is parallel to the logic of street art:

[O]rder is tricked by an art..., that is, an economy of the "gift" (generosities for which one expects a return), an esthetics of "tricks" (artists' operations) and an ethics of tenacity (countless ways of refusing to accord the established order the status of a law, a meaning, or a fatality)... [T]he politics of the "gift" also becomes a diversionary tactic. In the same way, the loss that was voluntary in a gift economy is transformed into a transgression in a profit economy: it appears as an excess (a waste), a challenge (a rejection of profit), or a crime (an attack on property). 75

For the generation of artists in the 1990s, the walls became found materials to work *with*, turning attention to what is normally, intentionally, unnoticed,

visually suppressed. The public gift of the street work, even if declined or disavowed, would always be a mark of presence. As Barry McGee stated in 1995, graffiti was all about showing "signs of life. People are alive. Someone was here at that time." Visibility is presence; to exist is to be seen.

A clear statement of public intervention in city space is summed up in Swoon's description of her Indivisible Cities project that she organized with artists in Berlin in 2003. "[T]here is a struggle going on for the physical surfaces of our cities."

Indivisible Cities is a visual and cultural exchange focusing on artistic interventions in the urban landscape. Creating itself out of the margins of our cities is a community of people, more precisely it is a community of actions, a floating world of ephemera and physical markings made by people who have decided to become active citizens in creating their visual landscape. Every time someone reappropriates a billboard for his or her own needs, scrawls their alias across a highway overpass, or uses city walls as a sounding board for their thoughts and images for messages that need realization, they are participating in this community. They are circumscribing a link to every other person who believes that the vitality of our public spaces is directly related to the *public* participating in the incessant creation and re-creation of those spaces. [Street art is] a form of active citizenship that resists attempts at containment... I think that the persistence of graffiti and street art in cities all over the world is evidence of a common need for citizens to take a role in their environments.⁷⁷

Street art provides ongoing signs of environmental reclamation, marking out zones for an alternative visibility. Both regimes of visibility are disturbed, a disturbance that also renders their falsely transparent operations visible as the social and political constructions they are.

Conclusions and Consequences: Street Art in the Dialogical Field of Art

In providing an overview of the larger contexts of street art for visual culture, I'm painfully aware of the omissions and generalizations one must commit to fulfill the task. I can only provide some conclusions and consequences in a brief form here. Like all cultural terms, "street art" names and constructs a category useful in various kinds of arguments, but is easily deconstructible as riddled with internal contradictions and contestable assumptions. And like Pop after the 1960s, some critics are already declaring an "end" to street art as a viable movement: street art, as the argument goes, has now received art institutional recognition along with trivializing by media exposure and dilution by imitators and co-option by celebrity culture. For most artists today, street art is simply a short-hand term for multiple ways of doing art in dialog with a city in a continuity of practice that spans street, studio, gallery, museum, and the Internet. This continuum of practice was unknown to artists in any prior cultural position. The term does useful cultural work when street art is viewed as a practice that subsumes many forms of visual culture and postmodern art movements, but played out in conflicting ways across the visibility regimes and constitutive spaces of the city and art institutions.

Summing up, let's retrace the network array of forces within which street art has become a connecting node:

- (1) Street art reveals a new kind of attention to the phenomenology of the city, the experience of material spaces and places in daily life, and has re-introduced play and the gift in public exchange. Well-executed and well-placed street art reanchors us in the here and now, countering the forces of disappearance in the city as a frictionless commerce machine neutralizing time and presence and claiming all zones of visuality for itself. Street art rematerializes the visual, an aesthetics of reappearance in an era of continual re-mediation and disappearance.⁷⁸
- (2) Street art thwarts attempts to maintain unified, normalized visibility regimes, the legal and policy regime for controlling public, "non-art" space and the institutional regime controlling the visibility of art. It exposes the contest for

visibility being played out in multiple dimensions, and the internal contractions which must be repressed for the regimes to function. Street art will remain an institutional antinomy because it depends on the extramural tensions of working outside art spaces that are commonly understood as "deactivating" art. Art space, the heterotopia of museum, gallery, and academic institutional space, is well-recognized in its constitutive function as part of learned and shared cultural capital. 79 Public space, on the other hand, is understood as precisely that space in which art does not and cannot appear, where we've learned that art cannot be made visible as such. The spaces have been, and continue to be, reconfigured, but the visibility regimes remain deeply embedded in our social, economic, and political order.

- (3) By subverting the cultural wall system and championing the ephemeral act of art, street art reveals internal contradictions and crises in the parallel universe of the artworld. In the institutional artworld, we only find unity in a consensual disunity about the state of contemporary art, the institutional response to popular visual culture, and the ongoing dissatisfaction with dehistoricizing, dislocating, institutional containers. There seems to be no escape from the intramural self-reflexive authentication operations, no "outside the wall." Hal Foster aptly describes the effect of monumental institutional spaces like Dia:Beacon and the Tate Modern: "we wander through museum spaces as if after the end of time." The artworld isn't dancing on the museum's ruins (as in Crimp, 1993), but keeps the "museum without walls" installed in the institution.
- (4) Street art since the late 1990s is the first truly post-Internet art movement, equally at home in real and digital spaces as an ongoing continuum, interimplicated, inter-referenced, the real and the virtual mutually presupposed. This phenomenon is partly generational and partly a function of ubiquitous and accessible technology in cities. Inexpensive digital cameras and laptops join the Web's architecture for do-it-yourself publishing and social networking in a highly compatible way. Street art as a global movement has grown unconstrained through Web image-sharing and multiple ways of capturing and archiving ephemeral art.

(5) Street art since the 1990s is a kind of manifesto-in-practice for the complex forms of globalization, cultural hybridity, and remix which are increasingly the norm for life in global, networked cities. Street art's embrace of multiple mediums, techniques, materials, and styles makes it an exemplar of hybridity, remix, and post-appropriation practices now seen to be a defining principle of "contemporary" culture. I'd like to expand on this issue to explore some wider implications of street art and cultural hybridity.

Street Art and Contemporary Hybridity, Remix, and Appropriation: The Implications of Read/Write Visual Culture

To riff on a police term, street artists have "known associations" with hip-hop and post-punk cultures, a trans-urban "mash-up is the message" aesthetic that values a living, performative, re-interpretation and re-contextualization of received materials in real-time practice. If collage is arguably the major aesthetic force in twentieth-century art forms, ⁸¹ then hybridity, appropriation, and remix have clearly become the forces for the early twenty-first. ⁸² The key issue, which I will develop further in a forthcoming book, is understanding hybridity, remix, and appropriation as surface forms of a deeper generative grammar of culture, as visible or explicit instances of a structurally necessary dialogic principle underlying all forms of human expression and meaning-making. ⁸³ The appropriative or dialogic principle in creative production is part of the source code of living cultures. As part of the internalized, generative grammar of culture, the dialogic principle is ordinarily invisible to members of a culture because it is not a unit of content to be expressed, but makes possible the expression of any new content *per se*.

In street art, appropriation and remix of styles and imagery extend the prior practices of Pop and Conceptual Art genres,⁸⁴ but street artists take the conditions of postmodernity for granted, as something *already* in the past, already accounted for and in the mix. The state of art-making today is no longer burdened with the curriculum of postmodernism—mourning over the museum's ruins and the de-historicized mash-ups of popular culture,⁸⁵ cataloguing the collapse of high and low culture boundaries, and finding uses for anxieties about post-colonial global hybridization and identity politics. Remix is now coming into

view as one of the main engines of culture, though long shut up and hidden in a black box of ideologies. Behind so much creative work in art, music, literature, and design today is the sense of culture as being always already hybrid, a mix of "impure," promiscuous, and often unacknowledged or suppressed sources, local and global, and kept alive in an ongoing dialogic call and response.

Nicolas Bourriaud has argued that the cluster of concepts related to remix and appropriation can be described as *postproduction*: recent art practices function as an alternative editing table for remixing the montage we call reality into the cultural fictions we call art.⁸⁶ The editing table or mixing board (terms from audio-visual postproduction) are apt metaphors for a time when so much new cultural production is expressed as post-production, received cultural materials selected, quoted, collaged, remixed, edited, and positioned in new conceptual or material contexts. By making visible the reuse of materials already in circulation in the common culture, much street art has affinities with constantly evolving global hybrid music cultures, which have subsumed earlier DJ, Dub, sampling, and electronic/digital remix composition practices.⁸⁷

Street art is visual dub, extracting sources and styles from a cultural encyclopedia of images and message styles, editing out some transmitted features and re-appropriating others, inserting the new mix into the visual multi-track platform of the city.⁸⁸ The urban platform is assumed to be read/write, renewable, and never a zero-sum game: you only "take" when in the process of creating something that gives back.

The cultural logic of remix and appropriation has collided with the intellectual property regime in the high-profile copyright case of Associated Press v. Shepard Fairey, which hangs on the interpretation of Fair Use in the transformation of a digital news photograph in Fairey's iconic Obama poster portrait in 2008. 89 The case is not simply a matter for theory and practice in the arts, but for the legal regimes now at a crisis point in adjusting to contemporary cultural practices and digital mediation. 90 Artists, writers, musicians, fashion designers, advertising creatives, and architects all know that the active principle named by "appropriation" is part of the generative grammar of the creative process. Appropriation is not imitation, copying, or theft. It's conversation, interpretation, dialog, a sign of participating in a *tradition* (lit., "what is handed down"), regardless of whether the tradition is a dominant form or an outsider

subculture, or whether the artist takes an adversarial, affirmative, or conflicted position within the tradition.

Of course, neither street art nor Fairey's post-Pop practices are special cases for art or legal theory. But since the AP case is based on the practice of a street artist known for appropriation and remix, it represents a "perfect storm" of issues that can be redirected to expose collective misrecognitions about art works that lost sustainability decades ago. The misrecognitions are maintained through our enormous social investment in the ideologies of single authorship, originality, property, and ownership. Misrecognitions about production are further maintained by the positivist, atomistic logic of legal philosophy on copyright and IP in which surface similarities between works are taken as the bases for causal arguments about copy or derivation. Specifically for visual culture, Fairey's Obama images rely on a logic of remediation, recontextualization, and stylized iconicity that extends back to Rauschenberg and other Pop artists. Through the strategy of the "demake" or down-skilled "remake," a strategy observable in a wide array of twentieth-century works prior to recent street art, generic portrait features present in a digital photograph have been rendered as a hand-made screenprint image.⁹¹ Of course, the uses of the remake in Fairey's and other artists' practices are only one instance of multiple kinds of expressions produced every day in the dialogic grammar of culture. The AP v. Fairey case can generate a larger public awareness of these urgent issues and make it possible to ask precisely those questions that cannot be asked when collective misrecognitions are at stake. Artists producing works in all media and the public receiving them now live in a culture with a legal-economic regime requiring a re-syncing with reality that will be as unsettling as the Copernican revolution.

With its ability to embrace multiple urban subcultures and visual styles in a globally distributed practice, street art provides a new dialogic configuration, a post-postmodern hybridity that will continue to generate many new kinds of works and genres. Now working in a continuum of practice spanning street, studio, gallery, installation spaces, and the Internet, street artists expose how an artwork is a momentary node of relationships, a position in a network of affiliations, configured into a contingent and interdependent order. The node may have collective authorship, may have affiliations with media, images, or concepts from other points in the network, near or far, contemporary or archival,

may take form in an ephemeral, material location and live on through global digital distribution. The important thing for the artists is to keep moving and keep proving themselves for their mentor and interlocutor, the city. The artists are mapping out in real time one possible and promising future for a post-postmodern visual culture.

Martin Irvine Georgetown University irvinem@georgetown.edu

Notes

- ¹ Arguments for this transition are appearing at an accelerated pace: Patrick Nguyen and Stuart Mackenzie, eds., *Beyond the Street: With the 100 Most Important Players in Urban Art* (Berlin: Die Gestalten Verlag, 2010); R. Klanten, H. Hellige, and S. Ehmann, eds., *The Upset: Young Contemporary Art* (Berlin: Die Gestalten Verlag, 2008); Carlo McCormick, Marc Schiller, and Sara Schiller, *Trespass: A History Of Uncommissioned Urban Art* (Köln: Taschen, 2010).
- ² It would be impossible to recognize all the friends, colleagues, and artists that have been part of an ongoing dialog that informs many of the ideas in this essay, but I would especially like to thank Shepard Fairey, Swoon, Roger Gastman, Pedro Alonzo, and Jeffrey Deitch for their dedication and commitment to the art.
- ³ Notable books include Roger Gastman, Caleb Neelon, and Anthony Smyrski, Street World: Urban Culture and Art from Five Continents (New York, NY: Abrams Books, 2007); Klanten, Hellige, and Ehmann, The Upset; Steve Lazarides, Outsiders: Art by People (London, UK: Random House UK, 2009); Cedar Lewisohn, Street Art: The Graffiti Revolution, 1st ed. (New York, NY; London: Abrams; Tate Publishing, 2008); Eleanor Mathieson and Xavier A. Tápies, eds., Street Artists: The Complete Guide (Korero Books, 2009); Aaron Rose and Christian Strike, eds., Beautiful Losers, 2nd ed. (New York: Iconoclast and Distributed Art Publishers, 2005); Gary Shove, Untitled: Street Art in the Counter Culture (Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press and Pro-Actif Communications, 2009); Gary Shove, Untitled II. The Beautiful Renaissance: Street Art and Graffiti (Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press, 2009); Banksy, Wall and Piece (London, UK: Random House UK, 2007); Shepard Fairey, E Pluribus Venom (Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press, 2008); Shepard Fairey, OBEY: Supply & Demand: The Art of Shepard Fairey, 20th Anniversary Edition (Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press, 2009); Nicholas Ganz, Graffiti World: Street Art from Five Continents (New York, NY: Harry N. Abrams, 2004); Nicholas Ganz and Nancy MacDonald, Graffiti Women: Street Art from Five Continents (New York, NY: Abrams, 2006); Jaime Rojo and Steven P. Harrington, eds., Street Art New York (New York, NY: Prestel USA, 2010); Swoon, Swoon (New York, NY: Abrams, 2010); Nguyen and Mackenzie, Beyond the Street.
- ⁴ See Michel de Certeau, *The Practice of Everyday Life*, trans. Steven Rendall (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1984).
- ⁵ See Henri Lefebvre, *The Production of Space*, trans. Donald Nicholson-Smith (Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishers, 1991); Henri Lefebvre, *The Urban Revolution* (Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 2003); Henri Lefebvre, *Writings on Cities* (Oxford, UK; Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell, 1996).

- ⁶ See Jacques Rancière, *The Politics Of Aesthetics: The Distribution of the Sensible*, trans. Gabriel Rockhill (London and New York: Continuum, 2004); Jacques Rancière, *Aesthetics and Its Discontents* (Polity, 2009); Jacques Rancière, "Thinking between disciplines: an aesthetics of knowledge," *Parrhesia* 1, no. 2006 (2006): 1-12; Jacques Rancière, "The Aesthetic Dimension: Aesthetics, Politics, Knowledge," *Critical Inquiry* 36, no. 1 (January 1, 2009): 1-19; Jacques Rancière, "Aesthetic Separation, Aesthetic Community: Scenes from the Aesthetic Regime of Art," *Art & Research* 2, no. 1 (2006), http://www.artandresearch.org.uk/v2n1/ranciere.html.
- ⁷ A highly perceptive description of the current scene of contemporary art is Terry Smith, What Is Contemporary Art? (Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press, 2009); see especially Chap. 13, pp. 241-71; see also Terry Smith, "Contemporary Art and Contemporaneity," *Critical Inquiry* 32, no. 4 (June 1, 2006): 681-707; and the recent dialogue in October: Hal Foster, "Questionaire on "The Contemporary"," *October* 130 (10, 2009): 3-124; also telling is the Roundtable discussion on "The predicament of contemporary art" in Hal Foster et al., *Art Since 1900: Modernism, Antimodernism, Postmodernism* (New York: Thames & Hudson, 2004), 671-79.
- 8 This is a central question approached in various ways in Marquard Smith, *Visual Culture Studies: Interviews with Key Thinkers* (Los Angeles; London: SAGE, 2008); see also the now famous volume of October devoted to the issue: Svetlana Alpers et al., "Visual Culture Questionnaire," *October* 77 (Summer 1996): 25-70; Hal Foster, "The Archive without Museums," *October* 77 (Summer 1996): 97-119; and the 2005 issue of the Journal of Visual Culture, Martin Jay, "Introduction to Show and Tell," *Journal of Visual Culture* 4, no. 2 (August 1, 2005): 139-143.
- 9 Fairey, OBEY, 94.
- ¹⁰ See Nan Ellin, *Postmodern Urbanism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Architectural Press. 1999, 280-88, and Colin Rowe and Fred Koetter, *Collage City*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1979.
- ¹¹ de Certeau, *The Practice of Everyday Life*.
- ¹² See Paul Virilio, *The Aesthetics of Disappearance, New Edition*, Semiotexte / Foreign Agents (New York, NY: Semiotext(e), 2009); John Armitage and Paul Virilio, "From Modernism to Hypermodernism and Beyond An Interview with Paul Virilio," *Theory, Culture & Society*, 1999.
- ¹³ See Marc Auge, *Non-Places: Introduction to an Anthropology of Supermodernity*, trans. John Howe, 2nd ed. (London: Verso, 2009).
- ¹⁴ See especially Gastman, Neelon, and Smyrski, *Street World*; Roger Gastman and Caleb Neelon, *The History of American Graffiti* (New York, NY: Collins Design, 2010); Ganz,

Graffiti World; Mathieson and Tápies, *Street Artists*; Nguyen and Mackenzie, *Beyond the Street*.

- ¹⁵ See Craig Castleman, *Getting Up: Subway Graffitti in New York* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1984).
- ¹⁶ The seminal argument about "disorder" and crime was stated in George L. Kelling and James Q. Wilson, "Broken Windows: The police and neighborhood safety," *The Atlantic*, March 1982, http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/1982/03/broken-windows/4465/; George L. Kelling and Catherine M. Coles, *Fixing Broken Windows: Restoring Order & Reducing Crime in Our Communities* (New York, NY: Free Press, 1996); the application of this theory on graffiti policy in New York City has been well-examined by Joe Austin in Joe Austin, *Taking the Train: How Graffiti Art Became an Urban Crisis in New York City* (New York, NY: Columbia University Press, 2001).
- ¹⁷ The transitions and hybridizations across the street art and graffiti art practices is well-documents in Nguyen and Mackenzie, *Beyond the Street*.
- 18 See Ibid., 358-63.
- ¹⁹ See the essay by Alain Bieber in R. Klanten and M. Huebner, eds., *Urban Interventions: Personal Projects in Public Places* (Berlin: Die Gestalten Verlag, 2010), 4-5.
- 20 The historical context for these theories is beyond the scope of this essay, but see especially Peter Burger, *Theory Of The Avant-Garde* (Minneapolis, MN: Univ of Minnesota Press, 1984); Rosalind Krauss, *The Originality of the Avant-garde and Other Modernist Myths* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1986), 151-170; questions of relational art in global cities is also usefully explored in Nicolas Bourriaud's essays; see Nicolas Bourriaud, *Relational Aesthetics* (Dijon: Les Presses du réel, 2002), 151-170; Nicolas Bourriaud, *The Radicant* (New York: Lukas & Sternberg, 2009), 151-170; and on the Situationist theory of detournement, see the key texts by Debord in Ken Knabb, ed., *Situationist International Anthology*, Revised & Expanded. (Berkeley, CA: Bureau Of Public Secrets, 2006), 151-170, http://www.bopsecrets.org/SI/index.htm; and overview by Sadler in Simon Sadler, *The Situationist City* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1998), 151-170.
- ²¹ See Knabb, Situationist International Anthology.
- ²² Lefebvre, The Urban Revolution, 19.
- 23 Nguyen and Mackenzie, Beyond the Street, 141.
- ²⁴ See the World Urbanization Prospects, United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs: available: http://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/index.htm.

- ²⁵ I am especially indebted to the research by Manuel Castells and Saskia Sassen on the global city; see especially Manuel Castells, *The Informational City: Economic Restructuring and Urban Development* (Oxford, UK; Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 1989); Manuel Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society (New Edition) (The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture Volume 1)*, 2nd ed. (Wiley-Blackwell, 2000); Saskia Sassen, *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*, 2nd ed. (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2001); Saskia Sassen, *Global Networks, Linked Cities* (New York; London: Routledge, 2002); Saskia Sassen, *Cities in a World Economy*, 3rd ed. (Thousand Oaks Calif.: Pine Forge Press, 2006); Saskia Sassen, *Globalization and Its Discontents* (New York: The New Press, 1998).
- ²⁶ See the works of William J. Mitchell: William J. Mitchell, *City of Bits: Space, Place, and the Infobahn* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1996); William J. Mitchell, *e-topia* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2000); William J. Mitchell, *Placing Words: Symbols, Space, and the City* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2005); and the Website for his "Smart Cities" project at MIT: William J. Mitchell, "Smart Cities," n.d., http://cities.media.mit.edu/.
- ²⁷ See Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society (New Edition) (The Information Age*; Castells, *The Informational City*.
- ²⁸ For example, from May 2008 to June 2010, the street art animation Muto by Blu was viewed 6,850,920 times: BLU, *MUTO a wall-painted animation by BLU*, 2008, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uuGaqLT-gO4.
- ²⁹ Norman Mailer and Jon Naar, *The Faith of Graffiti*, New Edition. (New York: It Books, 2010).
- ³⁰ See especially The Wooster Collective as a connecting node for documenting street art: http://www.woostercollective.com/. "All City" is also the name of a street art and graffiti iPhone app launched in May, 2010. Available: http://allcityart.com/. This app allows users to upload street art photos and tag and map them for other users.
- ³¹ Art Crimes Collective, "Art Crimes Graffiti Art Worldwide," present 1995, http://www.artcrimes.org/; Wooster Collective, "Wooster Collective," present 2001, http://www.woostercollective.com/; Russell Howze, "Stencil Archive," 2002, http://stencilarchive.org/.
- ³² Brassai, *Conversations with Picasso*, trans. Jane Marie Todd (Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press, 1999), 254.
- 33 Brassai, Brassaï Graffiti (Paris: Flammarion, 2002), 13.
- 34 de Certeau, The Practice of Everyday Life, 93.

- ³⁵ See Andrea Mubi Brighenti, ed., *The Wall and the City* (Trento, Italy: Professional Dreamers' Press, 2009); Andrea Mubi Brighenti, ed., "Walled urbs to urban walls and return? On the social life of walls," in *The Wall and the City* (Trento, Italy: Professional Dreamers' Press, 2009), 63-71.
- ³⁶ See the timely collection of papers Brighenti, *The Wall and the City*; and especially Brighenti, "Walled urbs to urban walls and return? On the social life of walls."
- ³⁷ See Brian O'Doherty, *Inside the White Cube: The Ideology of the Gallery Space*, Expanded ed. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999).
- 38 This is affirmed in the recent exhibition of performance art at the Whitney Museum; in the curator's view, performance played out "the end game of Modernism in their various rupturings of the autonomous space of painting and its primary location the vertical plane of the gallery wall." From The Whitney Museum of American Art, "Off the Wall: Part 1--Thirty Performative Actions" (Whitney Museum of Art, May 28, 2010), http://whitney.org/file_columns/0001/8996/off_the_wall_press_release.pdf.

 39 Mel Bochner, "Why Would Anyone Want to Draw on the Wall?," *October* 130 (10, 2009): 135-140.
- 40 Ibid., 140.
- 41 Brassai, Brassaï Graffiti.
- ⁴² The first quotation is from an essay by Robert Pincus-Witten in 1968, the second from a review in 1953 by Lawrence Campell, included in Nicola Del Roscio and et al., eds., *Writings On Cy Twombly* (Munich: Schirmer/Mosel, 2003), 65, 25; see Jon Bird, "Indeterminacy and (Dis)order in the work of Cy Twombly," *Oxford Art Journal* 30, no. 3 (October 1, 2007): 484-504.
- ⁴³ Twombly's "allusions" to writing were famously described by Roland Barthes in "The Wisdom of Art" (1979); in Roland Barthes, *The Responsibility of Forms: Critical Essays on Music, Art, and Representation*, trans. Richard Howard (New York, NY: Hill & Wang, 1984); reprinted in Del Roscio and et al., *Writings On Cy Twombly*, 102-113.
- ⁴⁴ See Robert Saltonstall Mattison, *Robert Rauschenberg: Breaking Boundaries* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2003), 41-104.
- ⁴⁵ Leo Steinberg, "Reflections on the State of Criticism," Artforum, March 1972.
- ⁴⁶ The Pomidou Center in Paris organized a Retrospective of Villeglé's work, *Jacques Villeglé*, *La comédie urbaine*, September 2008-January 2009. Other important exhibitions include *L'informe*, *mode d'emploi*, Centre Georges-Pompidou, Paris, 1996 and *Le Nouveau réalisme*, Galeries nationales du Grand Palais, Paris, 2007. The artist has his own official website: http://www.villegle.fr.

⁴⁷ A good overview of Tàpies career is on the website for the Dia:Beacon exhibition in 2009: *Antoni Tàpies: The Resources of Rhetoric*; available: http://www.diaart.org/exhibitions/introduction/9.

- ⁴⁸ Antoni Tapies, "Communication on the Wall," in *Antoni Tapies: Works, Writings, Interviews*, ed. Youssef Ishaghpour (Barcelona: Poligrafa, 2007), 117; also reprinted in Valeriano Bozal and Serge Guilbaut, eds., *Tapies: In Perspective* (Barcelona: Actar/MACBA, 2005).
- ⁴⁹ During a visit to Barcelona in June, 2010, I was struck by the historical layers of street mural art visible in central zones around the city, including the walls on streets opposite the Museum of Contemporary Art, Barcelona, which has an extensive collection of Tàpies' works. The street art was still securely *extramuros* in relation to the museum.
- ⁵⁰ See Richard Marshall, ed., *Jean-Michel Basquiat* (New York, NY: Whitney Museum of Art, 1992); Gianni Mercurio, ed., *The Jean-Michel Basquiat Show* (Milan: Skira, 2007); Diego Cortez et al., *Jean-Michel Basquiat: 1981, The Studio of the Street* (New York, NY: Charta/ Deitch Projects, 2007).
- ⁵¹ See especially Jenny Holzer and Creative Time, "Jenny Holzer: For the City," *Jenny Holzer: For the City*, 2005,

http://www.creativetime.org/programs/archive/2005/holzer/index1.html; Jenny Holzer, "Jenny Holzer: Projections," *Jenny Holzer, Projections*, n.d.,

http://www.jennyholzer.com/; Diane Waldman and Jenny Holzer, *Jenny Holzer* (New York, NY: Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, 1997); Elizabeth A.T. Smith and Jenny Holzer, *Jenny Holzer* (Ostfildern, Germany: Hatje Cantz, 2008).

- ⁵² A recent exhibition at Sprüth Magers gallery in Berlin was entitled *Paste Up* (November 21, 2009-January 23, 2010), indicating affinities with billboards and street art. See: http://spruethmagers.net/exhibitions/248.
- 53 She designed a city-block long installation on the façade of the Ontario Museum of Art in 2010; see http://www.ago.net/barbara-kruger, and the installation video: http://artmatters.ca/wp/2010/05/barbara-kruger-installation-video/.
- ⁵⁴ See Fairey, *OBEY*, 34; Dorothy Spears, "Barbara Kruger in Europe, Toronto and the Hamptons: Resurgent Agitprop in Capital Letters," *The New York Times*, August 24, 2010, sec. Arts / Art & Design, 34,

 $http://www.nytimes.com/2010/08/29/arts/design/29kruger.html;\ M\ Revelli\ and\ Shepard\ Fairey,\ "Barbara\ Kruger,"\ \textit{Juxtapoz},\ November\ 2010,\ 44-55.$

⁵⁵ Nguyen and Mackenzie, *Beyond the Street*, 10.

⁵⁶ Ibid., 27.

- ⁵⁷ Interview in Kevin Charles Redmon, "Shepard Fairey's American Graffiti, The Future of the City," *The Atlantic*, May 20, 2010, http://www.theatlantic.com/special-report/the-future-of-the-city/archive/2010/05/shepard-faireys-american-graffiti/56924/.
- ⁵⁸ First published in French in1980 as L'invention du quotidian; English, 1984: de Certeau, *The Practice of Everyday Life*.
- ⁵⁹ Ibid.. xii.
- 60 Ibid., xxi.
- ⁶¹ See Lawrence Lessig, *Remix: Making Art and Commerce Thrive in the Hybrid Economy* (New York, NY: Penguin Press, 2008); Lawrence Lessig, *Free Culture: The Nature and Future of Creativity* (New York, NY: Penguin Press, 2005); Lawrence Lessig, "Free Culture," *Free Culture Free Content*, n.d., http://free-culture.org/freecontent/; the creative foundations of read/write and remix are explored in Paul D. Miller (DJ Spooky), *Sound Unbound: Sampling Digital Music and Culture* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2008).
- 62 Lefebvre, Writings on Cities, 173.
- 63 See Andrea Brighenti, "Visibility: A Category for the Social Sciences," *Current Sociology* 55, no. 3 (May 1, 2007): 323-342; Brighenti, *The Wall and the City*; Andrea Brighenti and Cristina Mattiucci, "Editing Urban Environments: Territories, Prolongations, Visibilities," in *Mediacity* (Berlin: Frank & Timme, 2009), http://eprints.biblio.unitn.it/archive/00001481/.
- 64 Jacques Rancière, "The Politics of Literature," SubStance 33, no. 1 (2004): 10.
- 65 de Certeau, The Practice of Everyday Life, xxi.
- ⁶⁶ Expanding in the classic model of the interpellation of the subject in Althusser's "Ideology and Ideological State Apparatuses" (1970), in Louis Althusser, *Lenin and Philosophy and Other Essays* (Monthly Review Press, 2001).
- ⁶⁷ From "Absolute Advertising, Ground-Zero Advertising," in Jean Baudrillard, Simulacra and Simulation, trans. Sheila Faria Glaser (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1995), 87.
- 68 Ibid., 88.
- 69 See Ron English's website: http://www.popaganda.com/index.shtml.
- ⁷⁰ See Fairey, *OBEY*, ii-v and passim.
- 71 Ibid., xvi.
- 72 Ganz and MacDonald, Graffiti Women, 204.
- ⁷³ Michel de Certeau, "The Imaginary of the City," in *Culture In The Plural* (Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 1997), 20.

74 From Swoon, "Swoon Union," 2004,

http://www.fingerweb.org/html/finger/finger8_12/finger11/swoon.html; some projects of Swoon and Toyshop from 2003-2004 are archived at: Swoon, "TOYSHOP," n.d., http://toyshopcollective.org/.

- 75 de Certeau, The Practice of Everyday Life, 26, 27.
- ⁷⁶ *Juxtapoz*, Spring 1995, p. 69.
- ⁷⁷ Statement: Swoon, "Toyshop Collective: Indivisible Cities," *Indivisible Cities: Description*, 2003, http://www.toyshopcollective.org/indivisible.html; additional description of the project: Swoon, "Newtopia Magazine: Indivisible Cities, by Caledonia Curry," *Newtopia*, n.d.,

http://www.newtopiamagazine.org/issue11/newart/indivisiblecities.php.

- ⁷⁸ In this context, see Jay David Bolter and Richard Grusin, *Remediation: Understanding New Media* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2000).
- ⁷⁹ These concepts have been fully developed by Pierre Bourdieu: Pierre Bourdieu, *The Field of Cultural Production: Essays on Art and Literature* (Cambridge, UK: Polity Press, 1992); Pierre Bourdieu, "Social Space and Symbolic Power," in *In Other Words: Essays Towards a Reflexive Sociology* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1990), 122-139; Pierre Bourdieu, *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste*, trans. Richard Nice (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1979).
- 80 Foster et al., Art since 1900, 679.
- ⁸¹ One version of the often-cited comment by Donald Barthelme, "'the principle of collage is the central principle of all art in the 20th century," was from "A Symposium on Fiction" (1975), included in his collected essays, Donald Barthelme, *Not-Knowing: The Essays and Interviews of Donald Barthelme* (New York, NY: Vintage, 1999), 58.
- 82 See, inter alia, Jonathan Letham, "The ecstasy of influence: A plagiarism," *Harper's Magazine*, 2007; Dick Hebdige, *Cut 'n' Mix: Culture, Identity, and Caribbean Music* (London; New York: Methuen, 1987); Miller (DJ Spooky), *Sound Unbound*; Lessig, *Remix*; Nicolas Bourriaud, *Postproduction: Culture as Screenplay: How Art Reprograms the World*, 2nd ed. (New York: Lukas & Sternberg, 2005).
- 83 The literature on this topic from multiple disciplines is huge, but my view draws from semiotics, linguistics, Bakhtin, reception theory, and theories of appropriation; see Michael Holquist, *Dialogism: Bakhtin and his World* (London; New York: Routledge, 1990); Mikhail Bakhtin, *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays* (Austin: University of Texas Press, 1992); Susan Petrilli and Augusto Ponzio, *Semiotics Unbounded: Interpretive Routes through the Open Network of Signs*, 1st ed. (Toronto: University of

Toronto Press, 2005); David Evans, ed., *Appropriation* (London; Cambridge Mass.: Whitechapel; MIT Press, 2009).

- 84 For a useful compendium of sources and arguments, see Evans, Appropriation.
- 85 The now-canonical statements by Douglas Crimp in Douglas Crimp, *On the Museum's Ruins* (Cambridge Mass.: MIT Press, 1993); and Jameson, Fredric Jameson, *Postmodernism, or, The Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1991).
- 86 See Bourriaud, Postproduction; Bourriaud, The Radicant, 177-88.
- 87 Bourriaud expands on the question of hybridity and post-production as part of global, nomadic culture in Nicolas Bourriaud, *Altermodern: Tate Triennial* (London; New York: Tate Publications and Harry Abrams, 2009); and Bourriaud, *The Radicant*.
- **S The dub concept, derived from Jamaican reggae studio production, is excellently explored by Michael Veal, *Dub: Soundscapes and Shattered Songs in Jamaican Reggae (Middletown. CT: Wesleyan, 2007); and Paul D. Miller, Paul D. Miller (DJ Spooky), **Rhythm Science* (Cambridge, MA: Mediawork/MIT Press, 2004); Miller (DJ Spooky), **Sound Unbound*, Paul D. Miller (DJ Spooky) and Vijay Iyer, "Improvising Digital Culture: A Conversation," **Critical Studies in Improvisation 5, no. 1 (2009),

http://www.criticalimprov.com/index.php/csieci/article/viewArticle/1017/1636.

⁸⁹ It is difficult to find a non-contentious summary of events in this case, but see *The New York Times* coverage:

http://topics.nytimes.com/top/reference/timestopics/people/f/shepard_fairey/index.ht ml. A useful context is the special issue of the *Journal of Visual Culture*, 8/2 (August 2009), which was devoted to the topic of Obama in visual culture and political iconography.

⁹⁰ This is one of the most urgent issues of our time, which I will treat more fully in a forthcoming book. For background, see Lessig, *Remix*; William Patry, *Moral Panics and the Copyright Wars* (New York, NY: Oxford University Press, USA, 2009); Siva Vaidhyanathan, *Copyrights and Copywrongs: The Rise of Intellectual Property and How It Threatens Creativity* (New York, NY: NYU Press, 2001); James Boyle, *Shamans, software, and spleens: law and the construction of the information society* (Cambridge Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1996).

⁹¹ This point is persuasively argued by Cartwright and Mandiberg, Lisa Cartwright and Stephen Mandiberg, "Obama and Shepard Fairey: The Copy and Political Iconography in the Age of the Demake," *Journal of Visual Culture* 8, no. 2 (August 1, 2009): 172-176.

References

- Alonzo, Pedro & Baker, Alex, 2011. *Viva La Revolucion: A Dialogue with the Urban Landscape*, Berkeley, CA: Museum of Contemporary Art, San Diego, and Gingko Press.
- Alpers, Svetlana et al. 1996. "Visual Culture Questionnaire." October 77:25-70.
- Althusser, Louis. 2001. *Lenin and Philosophy and Other Essays*. New York, NY: Monthly Review Press.
- Armitage, John, and Paul Virilio. 1999. "From Modernism to Hypermodernism and Beyond An Interview with Paul Virilio." *Theory, Culture & Society*, 25-55.
- Art Crimes Collective. 1995-2010. Website. Available: http://www.artcrimes.org/.
- Augé, Marc. 2009. *Non-Places: Introduction to an Anthropology of Supermodernity.* 2nd ed. London: Verso.
- Austin, Joe. 2001. Taking the Train: How Graffiti Art Became an Urban Crisis in New York City. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Bakhtin, Mikhail. 1992. *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Banksy. 2007. Wall and Piece. London, UK: Random House UK.
- Barthelme, Donald. 1999. *Not-Knowing: The Essays and Interviews of Donald Barthelme*. New York, NY: Vintage.
- Barthes, Roland. 1984. *The Responsibility of Forms: Critical Essays on Music, Art, and Representation.* New York, NY: Hill & Wang.
- Baudrillard, Jean. 1995. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Bird, Jon. 2007. "Indeterminacy and (Dis)order in the work of Cy Twombly." *Oxford Art Journal* 30:484-504.
- BLU. 2008. *MUTO a wall-painted animation by BLU*. Available: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uuGaqLT-gO4.
- Bochner, Mel. 2009. "Why Would Anyone Want to Draw on the Wall?." *October* 130:135-140.
- Bolter, Jay David, and Richard Grusin. 2000. *Remediation: Understanding New Media*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1979. Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste. London:

- Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1990. "Social Space and Symbolic Power." In *In Other Words: Essays Towards a Reflexive Sociology*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1990, 122-139
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1992. *The Field of Cultural Production: Essays on Art and Literature.* Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Bourriaud, Nicolas. 2002. Relational Aesthetics. Dijon: Les Presses du réel.
- Bourriaud, Nicolas. 2005. *Postproduction: Culture as Screenplay: How Art Reprograms the World.* 2nd ed. New York: Lukas & Sternberg.
- Bourriaud, Nicolas. 2009a. *Altermodern: Tate Triennial*. London; New York: Tate Publications and Harry Abrams.
- Bourriaud, Nicolas. 2009b. The Radicant. New York: Lukas & Sternberg.
- Boyle, James. 1996. Shamans, Software, and Spleens: Law and the Construction of the Information Society. Cambridge Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Bozal, Valeriano, and Serge Guilbaut, eds. 2005. *Tapies: In Perspective*. Barcelona: Actar/MACBA.
- Brassaï. 2002. Brassaï Graffiti. Paris: Flammarion.
- Brassaï. 1999. Conversations with Picasso. Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press.
- Brighenti, Andrea. 2007. "Visibility: A Category for the Social Sciences." *Current Sociology* 55:323-342.
- Brighenti, Andrea, and Cristina Mattiucci. 2009. "Editing Urban Environments:

 Territories, Prolongations, Visibilities." in *Mediacity*. Berlin: Frank & Timme.

 Available: http://eprints.biblio.unitn.it/archive/00001481/.
- Brighenti, Andrea Mubi, ed. 2009a. *The Wall and the City*. Trento, Italy: Professional Dreamers' Press.
- Brighenti, Andrea Mubi, ed. 2009b. "Walled urbs to urban walls and return? On the social life of walls." In *The Wall and the City*. Trento, Italy: Professional Dreamers' Press, 63-71.
- Burger, Peter. 1984. *Theory of The Avant-Garde*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Cartwright, Lisa, and Stephen Mandiberg. 2009. "Obama and Shepard Fairey: The Copy and Political Iconography in the Age of the Demake." *Journal of Visual Culture* 8:172-176.

- Castells, Manuel. 1989. *The Informational City: Economic Restructuring and Urban Development*. Oxford, UK; Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.
- Castells, Manuel. 2000. The Rise of the Network Society (New Edition) (The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture Volume 1). 2nd ed. Oxford and Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Castleman, Craig. 1984. *Getting Up: Subway Graffitti in New York*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- de Certeau, Michel. 1984. *The Practice of Everyday Life*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- de Certeau, Michel. 1997. "The Imaginary of the City." In de Certeau, *Culture In The Plural*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 17-27.
- Chandès, Hervé, ed. 2009. Born in the Streets: Graffiti. London, UK: Thames & Hudson.
- Cortez, Diego, Glenn O'Brien, Gerard Basquiat, Franklin Sirmans, and Arto Lindsay. 2007. *Jean-Michel Basquiat: 1981, The Studio of the Street.* New York, NY: Charta/ Deitch Projects.
- Crimp, Douglas. 1993. On the Museum's Ruins. Cambridge Mass.: MIT Press.
- Del Roscio, Nicola, and et al., eds. 2003. *Writings on Cy Twombly*. Munich: Schirmer/Mosel.
- Ellin, Nan. 1999. *Postmodern Urbanism*. 1st ed. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Architectural Press.
- Evans, David, ed. 2009. Appropriation. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Fairey, Shepard. 2008. E Pluribus Venom. Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press.
- Fairey, Shepard. 2009. *OBEY: Supply & Demand: The Art of Shepard Fairey, 20th Anniversary Edition*. Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press.
- Foster, Hal. 1996. "The Archive without Museums." October 77:97-119.
- Foster, Hal. 2009. "Questionaire on "The Contemporary"." October 130:3-124.
- Foster, Hal, Rosalind Krauss, Yves-Alain Bois, and Benjamin H. D. Buchloh. 2004. *Art Since 1900: Modernism, Antimodernism, Postmodernism.* New York: Thames & Hudson.
- Ganz, Nicholas. 2004. *Graffiti World: Street Art from Five Continents*. New York, NY: Harry N. Abrams.

- Ganz, Nicholas, and Nancy MacDonald. 2006. *Graffiti Women: Street Art from Five Continents*. New York. NY: Abrams.
- Gastman, Roger, and Caleb Neelon. 2010. *The History of American Graffiti*. New York, NY: Collins Design.
- Gastman, Roger, Caleb Neelon, and Anthony Smyrski. 2007. *Street World: Urban Culture and Art from Five Continents*. New York. NY: Abrams Books.
- Hebdige, Dick. 1987. *Cut 'n' Mix: Culture, Identity, and Caribbean Music.* London; New York: Methuen.
- Holquist, Michael. 1990. *Dialogism: Bakhtin and his World*. London; New York: Routledge.
- Holzer, Jenny. n.d. "Jenny Holzer: Projections." *Jenny Holzer, Projections*. Available: http://www.jennyholzer.com/.
- Holzer, Jenny, and Creative Time. 2005. "Jenny Holzer: For the City." *Jenny Holzer: For the City*. Available: http://www.creativetime.org/programs/archive/2005/holzer/index1.html.
- Howze, Russell. 2002. "Stencil Archive." http://stencilarchive.org/ (Accessed May 10, 2010).
- Jameson, Fredric. 1991. *Postmodernism, or, The Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism.*Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Jay, Martin. 2005. "Introduction to Show and Tell." Journal of Visual Culture 4:139-143.
- Kelling, George L., and Catherine M. Coles. 1996. Fixing Broken Windows: Restoring Order & Reducing Crime in Our Communities. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Kelling, George L., and James Q. Wilson. 1982. "Broken Windows: The police and neighborhood safety." *The Atlantic*, March. Available: http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/1982/03/broken-windows/4465/.
- Klanten, R., H. Hellige, and S. Ehmann, eds. 2008. *The Upset: Young Contemporary Art.* Berlin: Die Gestalten Verlag.
- Klanten, R., and M. Huebner, eds. 2010. *Urban Interventions: Personal Projects in Public Places*. Berlin: Die Gestalten Verlag.
- Knabb, Ken, ed. 2006. Situationist International Anthology. Revised & Expanded. Berkeley, CA: Bureau Of Public Secrets. Available: http://www.bopsecrets.org/SI/index.htm.
- Krauss, Rosalind. 1986. The Originality of the Avant-garde and Other Modernist Myths.

- Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Lazarides, Steve. 2009. Outsiders: Art by People. London, UK: Random House UK.
- Lefebvre, Henri. 1991. The Production of Space. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishers.
- Lefebvre, Henri. 1996. Writings on Cities. Oxford, UK; Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Lefebvre, Henri. 2003. *The Urban Revolution*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Lessig, Lawrence. n.d. "Free Culture." *Free Culture Free Content.* http://free-culture.org/freecontent/ (Accessed October 12, 2009).
- Lessig, Lawrence. 2005. *Free Culture: The Nature and Future of Creativity*. New York, NY: Penguin Press.
- Lessig, Lawrence. 2008. Remix: Making Art and Commerce Thrive in the Hybrid Economy. New York, NY: Penguin Press.
- Letham, Jonathan. 2007. "The ecstasy of influence: A plagiarism." *Harper's Magazine*, 59-71. Available: http://www.harpers.org/archive/2007/02/0081387.
- Lewisohn, Cedar. 2008. *Street Art: The Graffiti Revolution*. New York, NY; London: Abrams; Tate Publishing.
- Mailer, Norman, and Jon Naar. 2010. *The Faith of Graffiti*. New Edition. New York: It Books.
- Marshall, Richard, ed. 1992. *Jean-Michel Basquiat*. New York, NY: Whitney Museum of Art.
- Mathieson, Eleanor, and Xavier A. Tàpies, eds. 2009. *Street Artists: The Complete Guide*. London: Graffito Books.
- Mattison, Robert Saltonstall. 2003. *Robert Rauschenberg: Breaking Boundaries*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- McCormick, Carlo, Marc Schiller, and Sara Schiller. 2010. *Trespass: A History of Uncommissioned Urban Art.* Köln: Taschen.
- Mercurio, Gianni, ed. 2007. The Jean-Michel Basquiat Show. Milan: Skira.
- Miller (DJ Spooky), Paul D. 2004. *Rhythm Science*. Cambridge, MA: Mediawork/MIT Press.
- Miller (DJ Spooky), Paul D., ed. 2008. *Sound Unbound: Sampling Digital Music and Culture.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Miller (DJ Spooky), Paul D., and Vijay Iyer. 2009. "Improvising Digital Culture: A Conversation." *Critical Studies in Improvisation* 5. Available: http://www.criticalimprov.com/index.php/csieci/article/viewArticle/1017/1636.
- Mitchell, William J. 1996. *City of Bits: Space, Place, and the Infobahn*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Mitchell, William J. 2000. e-topia. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mitchell, William J. 2005. *Placing Words: Symbols, Space, and the City.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mitchell, William J. n.d. Smart Cities. Website. Available: http://cities.media.mit.edu/.
- Neelon, Caleb. 2007. "Miss Van." *Swindle 9: Miss Van*, February 15. Available: http://swindlemagazine.com/issue09/miss-van/.
- Nguyen, Patrick, and Stuart Mackenzie, eds. 2010. *Beyond the Street: With the 100 Most Important Players in Urban Art.* Berlin: Die Gestalten Verlag.
- O'Doherty, Brian. 1999. *Inside the White Cube: The Ideology of the Gallery Space*. Expanded ed. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Patry, William. 2009. *Moral Panics and the Copyright Wars*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, USA.
- Petrilli, Susan, and Augusto Ponzio. 2005. Semiotics Unbounded: Interpretive Routes through the Open Network of Signs. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Rancière, Jacques. 2004a. *The Politics Of Aesthetics: The Distribution of the Sensible*. London and New York: Continuum.
- Rancière, Jacques. 2004b. "The Politics of Literature." SubStance 33:10-24. Available: http://o-muse.jhu.edu.library.lausys.georgetown.edu/journals/substance/v033/33.1ranciere01.html.
- Rancière, Jacques. 2006a. "Aesthetic Separation, Aesthetic Community: Scenes from the Aesthetic Regime of Art." *Art & Research* 2. Available: http://www.artandresearch.org.uk/v2n1/ranciere.html.
- Rancière, Jacques. 2006b. "Thinking Between Disciplines: An Aesthetics of Knowledge." *Parrhesia* 1:1-12. Available: http://www.parrhesiajournal.org/parrhesia01/parrhesia01_ranciere.pdf.
- Rancière, Jacques. 2009a. Aesthetics and Its Discontents. Cambridge, UK: Polity.
- Rancière, Jacques. 2009b. "The Aesthetic Dimension: Aesthetics, Politics, Knowledge." Critical Inquiry 36:1-19.

- Redmon, Kevin Charles. 2010. "Shepard Fairey's American Graffiti, The Future of the City." *The Atlantic*, May 20. Available: http://www.theatlantic.com/special-report/the-future-of-the-city/archive/2010/05/shepard-faireys-american-graffiti/56924/.
- Revelli, M, and Shepard Fairey. 2010. "Barbara Kruger." Juxtapoz, November, 44-55.
- Rojo, Jaime, and Steven P. Harrington, eds. 2010. *Street Art New York*. New York, NY: Prestel USA.
- Rose, Aaron, and Christian Strike, eds. 2005. *Beautiful Losers*. 2nd ed. New York: Iconoclast and Distributed Art Publishers.
- Rowe, Colin, and Fred Koetter. 1979. Collage City. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sadler, Simon. 1998. The Situationist City. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Sassen, Saskia. 1998. Globalization and Its Discontents. New York: The New Press.
- Sassen, Saskia. 2001. *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*. 2nd ed. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Sassen, Saskia. 2002. Global Networks, Linked Cities. New York; London: Routledge.
- Sassen, Saskia. 2006. *Cities in a World Economy*. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Pine Forge Press.
- Shove, Gary. 2009a. *Untitled II. The Beautiful Renaissance: Street Art and Graffiti.* Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press.
- Shove, Gary. 2009b. *Untitled: Street Art in the Counter Culture*. Berkeley, CA: Gingko Press and Pro-Actif Communications.
- Smith, Elizabeth A.T., and Jenny Holzer. 2008. *Jenny Holzer*. Ostfildern, Germany: Hatje Cantz.
- Smith, Marquard. 2008. *Visual Culture Studies: Interviews with Key Thinkers*. Los Angeles; London: SAGE.
- Smith, Terry. 2006. "Contemporary Art and Contemporaneity." *Critical Inquiry* 32:681-707.
- Smith, Terry. 2009. What Is Contemporary Art? Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press.
- Spears, Dorothy. 2010. "Barbara Kruger in Europe, Toronto and the Hamptons: Resurgent Agitprop in Capital Letters." *The New York Times*, August 24. Available: http://www.nytimes.com/2010/08/29/arts/design/29kruger.html.

- Steinberg, Leo. 1972. "Reflections on the State of Criticism." Artforum, March, 37-49.
- Sturken, Marita. 2009. "The New Aesthetics of Patriotism." *Journal of Visual Culture* 8:168-172.
- Swoon. n.d. "Newtopia Magazine: Indivisible Cities, by Caledonia Curry." *Newtopia*. Available: http://www.newtopiamagazine.org/issue11/newart/indivisiblecities.php.
- Swoon. n.d. "TOYSHOP." Available: http://toyshopcollective.org/.
- Swoon. 2003. "Toyshop Collective: Indivisible Cities." Available: http://www.toyshopcollective.org/indivisible.html.
- Swoon. 2004. "Swoon Union." Available: http://www.fingerweb.org/html/finger/finger8_12/finger11/swoon.html.
- Swoon. 2010. Swoon. New York, NY: Abrams.
- Tàpies, Antoni. 2007. "Communication on the Wall." In *Antoni Tàpies: Works, Writings, Interviews*, ed. Youssef Ishaghpour. Barcelona: Poligrafa, 115-117.
- Vaidhyanathan, Siva. 2001. *Copyrights and Copywrongs: The Rise of Intellectual Property and How It Threatens Creativity*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Veal, Michael. 2007. *Dub: Soundscapes and Shattered Songs in Jamaican Reggae*. Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press.
- Virilio, Paul. 2009. *The Aesthetics of Disappearance, New Edition*. New York, NY: Semiotext(e).
- Waldman, Diane, and Jenny Holzer. 1997. *Jenny Holzer*. New York, NY: Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum.
- Whitney Museum of American Art, The. 2010. "Off the Wall: Part 1--Thirty Performative Actions." Available: http://whitney.org/file_columns/0001/8996/off_the_wall_press_release.pdf.
- Wooster Collective. 2001-2010. Website, available: http://www.woostercollective.com/.